



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,250	01/07/2002		Mohammad Haghgooie	201-0662	6162
7.	590	04/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Kevin G. Mie			PHAM, THOMAS K		
Artz & Artz PL Suite 250	.C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
28333 Telegraph Road			2121	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Southfield, MI	48034			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004	D

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)		Ple
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	10/037,250	HAGHGOOIE ET AL	<u>-</u> .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas K Pham	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this com- ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 February 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 7	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicat			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	arawn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) \square objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= , ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap	oplication No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But 	-	received in this National Si	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	* **	received.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		 /

Application/Control Number: 10/037,250

Art Unit: 2121

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom U.S. Patent no. 6,249,418.

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 14

Bergstrom teaches controlling an electromechanical valve assembly, said valve assembly having a first solenoid, a second solenoid and an valve armature positioned between said first solenoid and said second solenoid (col. 10 lines 23-47, "Internal combustion engines ... the two coils themselves") comprising: changing a voltage applied to said first solenoid from a first polarity to a second polarity (col. 8 lines 10-17, "The voltage appearing across ... eddy currents induced in the core"); measuring an induced current in said first solenoid (col. 11 lines 7-11, "The moving magnet will ... used in Type 1 systems") but does not teach holding said voltage at said second polarity for a predetermined time period at a predetermined amplitude to decrease said induced current, said predetermined time period or said predetermined amplitude being determined based

Application/Control Number: 10/037,250

Art Unit: 2121

on said induced current. However, Bergstom teaches the amplifier can be configured to allow large positive and negative voltage swings resulting in rapid increase or decrease of the current (col. 7 lines 4-7, "The amplifier in FIG. 2 ... the current in the coil"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the configuring amplifier to hold the negative voltage swing at a certain amplitude for a period of time in order to decrease the current accordingly.

Regarding claims 2, 8 and 15

Bergstrom teaches increasing said first predetermined time period when said induced current has a positive value (col. 7 lines 4-7, "The amplifier in FIG. 2 ... the current in the coil").

Regarding claims 3, 9 and 16

Bergstrom teaches increasing said predetermined amplitude when said induced current has a positive value (col. 7 lines 4-7, "The amplifier in FIG. 2 ... the current in the coil").

Regarding claims 4, 10 and 17

Bergstrom teaches decreasing said first predetermined time period when said current has a negative value (col. 7 lines 4-7, "The amplifier in FIG. 2 ... the current in the coil").

Regarding claims 5, 11 and 18

Bergstrom teaches decreasing said predetermined amplitude when said current has a negative value (col. 7 lines 4-7, "The amplifier in FIG. 2 ... the current in the coil").

Regarding claims 6 and 12

Bergstrom teaches first polarity is a positive polarity and said second polarity is a negative polarity (col. 8 lines 10-13, "The voltage appearing ... in the opposite direction").

Art Unit: 2121

Regarding claim 13

Bergstrom teaches controller generates a release command to change the voltage (col. 8 lines 45-

49, "the controller may need ... may be very stable").

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Thomas Pham*; whose telephone number is (703) 305-7587 and fax number is (703) 746-8874, Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 7:30AM- 5:00PM EST or contact Supervisor *Mr. Anthony Knight* at (703) 308-3179.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to: Director of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231, or Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia, (Receptionist located on the 4th floor), or fax to the official fax number (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thomas Pham

Patent Examiner

71

April 23, 2004

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Group 3600